(1)

C.S. 1602

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

W.P. NO. 3037 **OF 2004** Single Bench

PETITIONER Sheikh Abdul Ghanee, S/o. Abdul Gaffar Sheikh, aged about 20 years R/o. Near Nehru Garden, Distt. P.O. Dhamtari.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Education Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2. Chhattisgarh Board of Secondary Education Pension Bada, Raipur [C.G.]

3. Director, Medical Education D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur [C.G.]

P.R. No. 3028/04
Presented by Shri R. Dubey
31-8-04

31.8.04

PETITION UNDER ARTICLDE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS OF CERTIORARI AND MANDAMUS AND FURTHER WRITS AND DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(54)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3037 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

16-09-2004

Shri Somnath Verma with Shri Sandeep Dubey and Sushri Devika Bharti, Counsel for the Petitioner.

Shri U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1 and 3.

Shri H.B. Agrawal, Counsel for respondent No.2 with Dr. V.K. Goel, Deputy Secretary, Chhattisgarh Board of Secondary Education.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard on I.A.No.6521/2004 for exemption from filing typed copies of the documents.

Not opposed.

The application is allowed. Exemption is granted from filing typed copies of the documents.

Heard.

So far as the revaluation of the answer sheet of the petitioner is concerned, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of ***Pramod Kumar Shrivastava Vs. Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna and Others*** reported in ***2004 (6) Supreme 134***, there is no provision.

So far as recounting of the marks is concerned, it is stated by learned counsel for respondent No.2 that the answer sheets are nicely maintained by the Chhattisgarh Board of Secondary Education. It is computerized.

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

55

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Learned counsel for the petitioner made a prayer that the answer sheets may be shown to the petitioner.

Shri H.B.Agrawal, counsel for respondent no.2 submitted that the original book-lets (answer sheets) have not been brought as there was no order or direction by the Court.

Counsel for the petitioner prayed that the answer sheets may be shown to the petitioner in the office of the Board. Counsel for respondent no.2 states that if the petitioner approaches the Deputy Secretary Dr.V.K.Gocl, alongwith the counsel appearing in this case and submits an application with an attested photograph, within 15 days, the answer sheets shall be shown, ~~to him~~. Let it be done.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P.No.2649/2004 also stands disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये